arXiv:1610.02188v1 [math.RA] 7 Oct 2016

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF LIE HIGHER DERIVATIONS ON $\mathcal{J}$-SUBSPACE LATTICE ALGEBRAS

DONG HAN AND FENG WEI

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ and Alg$\mathcal{L}$ be the associated $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebras. In this paper, we characterize the structure of a family $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \text{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ of linear mappings satisfying the condition

$$L_n([A, B]) = \sum_{i+j=n} [L_i(A), L_j(B)]$$

for any $A, B \in \text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. Moreover, the family $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \text{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ of linear mappings satisfying $L_n([A, B]_\xi) = \sum_{i+j=n}[L_i(A), L_j(B)]_\xi$ for any $A, B \in \text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$ and $1 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{F}$ is also considered in the current work.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$. A linear mapping $\tau : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a *derivation* if $\tau(AB) = \tau(A)B + A\tau(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Recall that a linear mapping $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called a *generalized derivation* if there exists a derivation τ such that

$$g(AB) = g(A)B + A\tau(B)$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. A linear mapping $L : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a *Lie derivation* if $L([A, B]) = [L(A), B] + [A, L(B)]$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, where $[A, B] = AB - BA$ is the usual Lie product. Clearly, every derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ is a Lie derivation. But, the converse statement is in general not true. More recently, there have been a number of papers on the study of conditions under which mappings such as derivations and Lie derivations of noncommutative algebras or operator algebras can be completely determined by the action on some subsets of the given algebras(see [1, 2, 8, 13, 15, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 28, 29] and the references therein). Of these, the case of Lie-type mappings are very interesting and important. Let X be a Banach space over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ with dim$X \geq 3$ and $\mathcal{B}(X)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X. Lu and Jing [13] gave a characterization of Lie derivations on $\mathcal{B}(X)$ by acting on zero products. Let $L : \mathcal{B}(X) \to \mathcal{B}(X)$ be a linear mapping satisfying $L([A, B]) = [L(A), B] + [A, L(B)]$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ with $AB = 0$ (resp. $AB = P$, here P is a fixed nontrivial idempotent). Then $L = d + \tau$,where d is a derivation of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and $\tau : \mathcal{B}(X) \to \mathbb{F}I$ is a linear mapping vanishing at commutators $[A, B]$ with $AB = 0$ (resp. $AB = P$). The related problem has also

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B47, 17B40.

Key words and phrases. $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebras, (ξ)-Lie higher derivation, zero product.

This work of the first author is supported by the Doctor Foundation of Henan Polytechnic University (B2010-21), the Natural Science Research Program of Education Department of Henan Province (16A110031 and 15A110026) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11301155).

been investigated for prime rings and triangular algebras in [8, 23], for $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebras in [18, 21], respectively. However, people pay much less attention to the structure of Lie-type higher derivations on algebras by local action. The objective of this article is to describe the structure of Lie(ξ-Lie) higher derivations on $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebras by acting on zero products.

Let us first recall some basic facts related to Lie higher derivations of an associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all non-negative integers and $G = \{L_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a family of linear mappings of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $L_0 = id_{\mathcal{A}}$. G is called:

(i) a *higher derivation* if

$$L_k(xy) = \sum_{i+j=k} L_i(x)L_j(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$;

(ii) a *Lie higher derivation* if

$$L_k([x, y]) = \sum_{i+j=k} [L_i(x), L_j(y)]$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$;

(iii) a *generalized higher derivation* if there exists a higher derivation $D = \{d_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$L_k(xy) = \sum_{i+j=k} L_i(x)d_j(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then D is called an *associated higher derivation* of G.

Note that L_1 is always a Lie derivation if $D = \{L_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Lie higher derivation. Obviously, every higher derivation is a Lie higher derivation. But the converse statements are in general not true. Various higher derivations, which consist of a family of some additive mappings, frequently appear in commutative and non-commutative contexts(see [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 15, 24, 25, 26, 27] and so on). In [6] the first author gave a characterization concerning Lie-type higher derivations of associative algebras. These properties easily enable us to transfer the problems of Lie-type higher derivations into the same problems related to Lie-type derivations. In this method, the first author describe Lie-type higher derivations on different operator algebras easily. However, it seems difficult to give a unified approach in characterizing Lie-type higher derivations on associative algebras by local action. So in terms of the problem studied in the current work, namely, describing the form of the Lie-type higher mappings on operator algebras by local action, the method mentioned above is not applicable. Hence we pay our attention to Lie(ξ-Lie) higher derivations on $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebras by acting on zero products in this article.

Let X be a Banach space over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$. A family $\mathcal{L}$ of subspaces of X is called a subspace lattice of X if it contains $\{0\}$ and X, and is closed under the operations closed linear span $\bigvee$ and intersection $\bigwedge$ in the sense that $\bigvee_{\gamma\in\Gamma} L_\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\bigwedge_{\gamma\in\Gamma} L_\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ for every family $\{L_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ of elements in $\mathcal{L}$. For a subspace lattice $\mathcal{L}$ of X, the associated subspace lattice algebra Alg$\mathcal{L}$ is the set of operators on X leaving each subspace in $\mathcal{L}$ invariant. As the above definition, for an arbitrary subspace K in $\mathcal{L}$ we can establish

$$K_- = \bigvee\{L \in \mathcal{L} : K \nsubseteq L\}.$$

The class of $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattices was defined by Panaia in his dissertation [17] and covers atomic Boolean subspace lattices and pentagon subspace lattices. $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattices are a particular sort of complemented lattice, satisfying certain other criteria. To be precise, define

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L}) = \{K \in \mathcal{L}\colon K \neq \{0\} \text{ and } K_- \neq X\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{L}$ is called a *$\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice*(simply, JSL) on X, provided all of the following conditions are satisfied:

(1)$\bigvee\{K\colon K \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})\} = X$;
(2)$\bigwedge\{K_-\colon K \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})\} = \{0\}$;
(3)$K \bigvee K_- = X$ for each K in $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$;
(4)$K \bigwedge K_- = \{0\}$ for each K in $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$.

If $\mathcal{L}$ is a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice, the associated subspace lattice algebra $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is called a *$\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebra*(JSL algebras). The center is defined usually by $Z(\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}) = \{Z \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} : ZA = AZ \text{ for all } A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}\}$.

The outline of our paper is organized as follows. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ be the associated $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebra. In the second section we give a characterization of a family $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of linear mappings satisfying

$$L_n([A, B]) = \sum_{i+j=n} [L_i(A), L_j(B)]$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. This permits us to transfer the problems related to Lie higher derivations by acting on zero products into the same problems of the corresponding Lie derivations. Then this result is applied to describe the Lie higher derivations by acting on zero products on $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. Basing on the second section, we continue to investigate ξ-Lie higher derivations by acting on zero products in the third section, where $1 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{F}$.

2. Characterizations of Lie higher derivations by acting on zero products

For any $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, denote by A^* the adjoint of A. For $x \in X$ and $f \in X^*$, the rank-one operator $x \otimes f$ is defined by $(x \otimes f)y = f(y)x$ for all $y \in X$. For any non-empty subset $\mathfrak{L} \in X$, $\mathfrak{L}^{\perp}$ denotes its annihilator, that is, $\mathfrak{L}^{\perp} = \{f \in X^* : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{L}\}$. Now Let us first give some lemmas related to rank-one operator, which is crucial to what follows.

Lemma 2.1. ([10]). *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X. Then $x \otimes f \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ if and only if there exists a subspace $K \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$ such that $x \in K$ and $f \in K_-^{\perp}$.*

Lemma 2.2. ([11]). *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X and $K \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$. Then, for any nonzero vector $x \in K$, there exists $f \in K_-^{\perp}$ such that $f(x) = 1$; dually, for any nonzero functional $f \in K_-^{\perp}$, there exists $x \in K$ such that $f(x) = 1$.*

Lemma 2.3. ([18]). *Every rank one operator $x \otimes f \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is a linear combination of idempotents in $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$.*

Moreover, finite-rank operators will also be used in later. Given a subspace lattice $\mathcal{L}$, by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K)$ we denote the subspace spanned by all rank one operators

$x \otimes f$ with $x \in K$ and $f \in K_-^\perp$ for arbitrary $K \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$. $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ denotes the algebra of all finite rank operators in $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$.

Lemma 2.4. ([14] *or* [17]). *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X. Suppose that A is an operator of rank n in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$. Then A can be written as a sum of n rank-1 operators in* $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$.

Let $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ be a family of linear mappings such that

$$L_n([A,B]) = \sum_{i+j=n} [L_i(A), L_j(B)]$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. The following result shows that the restriction of $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ is actually a Lie higher derivation.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ and* $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ *be the associated $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebra. Suppose that $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is a family of linear mappings such that*

$$L_n([A,B]) = \sum_{i+j=n} [L_i(A), L_j(B)]$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. Then

$$L_n([A,F]) = [L_n(A), F] + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ 0<i,j<n}} [L_i(A), L_j(F)] + [A, L_n(F)]$$

for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$.

Proof. The lemma will be proved through two claims.

Claim 1. $L_n(\mathbb{F}I) \subseteq Z(\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L})$.

Let us show this claim by induction on the index n. When $n = 1$, L_1 satisfies $L_1([A,B]) = [L_1(A), B] + [A, L_1(B)]$ for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$, and $L_1(\mathbb{F}I) \subseteq Z(\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L})$ (see [18]). Now let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \geq 1$ and we assume that the conclusion holds for all $s \leq n$.

For any scalar λ and any idempotent $P \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$, in view of the fact $\lambda P(I-P) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n+1}([\lambda P, I-P]) &= [L_{n+1}(\lambda P), I-P] + [\lambda P, L_{n+1}(I-P)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ 0<i,j<n+1}} [L_i(\lambda P), L_j(I-P)] \\ &= PL_{n+1}(\lambda P) - L_{n+1}(\lambda P)P + \lambda P L_{n+1}(I) - \lambda P L_{n+1}(P) \\ &\quad - \lambda L_{n+1}(I)P + \lambda L_{n+1}(P)P + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ 0<i,j<n+1}} [L_i(\lambda P), L_j(I-P)]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by $(\lambda I-\lambda P)P=0$ one can assert

$$\begin{aligned}L_{n+1}([\lambda I-\lambda P,P]) &= [L_{n+1}(\lambda I-\lambda P),P]+[\lambda I-\lambda P,L_{n+1}(P)]\\ &\quad+\sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1\\0<i,j<n+1}}[L_i(\lambda I-\lambda P),L_j(P)]\\ &= L_{n+1}(\lambda I)P-L_{n+1}(\lambda P)P-PL_{n+1}(\lambda I)+PL_{n+1}(\lambda P)\\ &\quad-\lambda PL_{n+1}(P)+\lambda L_{n+1}(P)P+\sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1\\0<i,j<n+1}}[L_i(\lambda I-\lambda P),L_j(P)].\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above two equations, we get from the induction hypothesis that

$$\lambda PL_{n+1}(I)-\lambda L_{n+1}(I)P=L_{n+1}(\lambda I)P-PL_{n+1}(\lambda I). \tag{2.1}$$

A similar discussion as in [18] shows that $L_{n+1}(\mathbb{F}I)\subseteq Z(\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L})$.

Claim 2. For any $A\in\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and any rank one operator $x\otimes f\in\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$, we have

$$L_n([A,x\otimes f])=[L_n(A),x\otimes f]+\sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\0<i,j<n}}[L_i(A),L_j(x\otimes f)]+[A,L_n(x\otimes f)].$$

Take any $A\in\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and any idempotent $P\in\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. For any scalar λ, notice that $AP(\lambda I-\lambda P)=0$. By Claim 1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&L_n(\lambda PAP)-L_n(\lambda AP)=L_n([AP,\lambda I-\lambda P])\\ &=[L_n(AP),\lambda I-\lambda P]+\sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\0<i,j<n}}[L_i(AP),L_j(\lambda I-\lambda P)]+[AP,L_n(\lambda I-\lambda P)]\\ &=\lambda PL_n(AP)-\lambda L_n(AP)P-APL_n(\lambda P)+L_n(\lambda P)AP\\ &+\sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\0<i,j<n}}[L_i(AP),L_j(-\lambda P)]\end{aligned}$$

However, since $(A-AP)\lambda P=0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&L_n(\lambda PAP)-L_n(\lambda PA)=L_n([A-AP,\lambda P])\\ &=[L_n(A-AP),\lambda P]+\sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\0<i,j<n}}[L_i(A-AP),L_j(\lambda P)]+[A-AP,L_n(\lambda P)]\\ &=\lambda L_n(A)P-\lambda PL_n(A)+\lambda PL_n(AP)-\lambda L_n(AP)P\\ &+AL_n(\lambda P)-APL_n(\lambda P)-L_n(\lambda P)A+L_n(\lambda P)AP\\ &+\sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\0<i,j<n}}[L_i(A-AP),L_j(\lambda P)]\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the last two relations leads to

$$L_n([A,\lambda P])=[L_n(A),\lambda P]+\sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\0<i,j<n}}[L_i(A),L_j(\lambda P)]+[A,L_n(\lambda P)]. \tag{2.2}$$

for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. Now, by Lemma 2.3, the claim is true. Furthermore, taking into account Lemma 2.4, we can further get

$$L_n([A,F]) = [L_n(A),F] + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ 0<i,j<n}} [L_i(A), L_j(F)] + [A, L_n(F)]$$

for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$. □

We must indicate that the proofs in the following lemma is essentially the same as those in [12], but it is done in a slightly different way.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ be the associated $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebra. Suppose that $\delta : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is a family of linear mappings such that $\delta([A,F]) = [\delta(A),F] + [A,\delta(F)]$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$, $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $K \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$, there is an operator S in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K)$ and an operator $\tau(P)$ in $Z(\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L})$ such that $\delta(x \otimes f) = [x \otimes f, S] + \tau(x \otimes f)$ for all $x \in K$ and $f \in K_-^{\perp}$.*

Proof. Let P be an idempotent operator in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K)$. Set $P_1 = P$ and $P_2 = I - P$. Then for any $A_{11} \in P_1\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}P_1$ we have

$$0 = \delta([P_1, A_{11}]) = \delta(P_1)A_{11} - A_{11}\delta(P_1) + P_1\delta(A_{11}) - \delta(A_{11})P_1.$$

Multiplying the above equality by P_1 from left and right sides gives

$$P_1\delta(P_1)P_1A_{11} = A_{11}P_1\delta(P_1)P_1.$$

In an analogous manner, we also get

$$\begin{aligned} P_2\delta(P_1)P_2A_{22} &= A_{22}P_2\delta(P_1)P_2, \\ P_1\delta(P_1)P_1A_{12} &= A_{12}P_2\delta(P1)P_2, \\ P_2\delta(P_1)P_2A_{21} &= A_{21}P_1\delta(P_1)P_1. \end{aligned}$$

These facts imply that $\tau(P) := P_1\delta(P_1)P_1 + P_2\delta(P_1)P_2 \in Z(\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L})$. Now let $S = P_1\delta(P_1)P_2 - P_2\delta(P_1)P_1$, then it is easy to check $S = [\delta(P_1), P_2] = [P_1, \delta(P_1)]$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K)$ is an ideal of $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$(see Lemma 2.4 in [12]), so it is easy to check that S in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K)$ and $\delta(P) = [P,S] + \tau(P)$.

Case 1. If $f(x) \neq 0$, then the result follows from the linearity.

Case 2. Now assume that $f(x) = 0$. In light of Lemma 2.2 one can take $y \in K$ such that $f(y) = 1$. By the previous fact it follows that $\delta(y \otimes f) = [y \otimes f, [y \otimes f, \delta(y \otimes f)]] + \tau(y \otimes f)$. Let us define a mapping $\Delta : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ by

$$\Delta(A) = \delta(A) - [A, [y \otimes f, \delta(y \otimes f)]], \tag{2.3}$$

where $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. Then $\Delta(y \otimes f) \in Z(\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L})$ and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x \otimes f) &= \Delta([x \otimes f, y \otimes f]) = [\Delta(x \otimes f), y \otimes f] \\ &= \Delta(x \otimes f)y \otimes f - y \otimes f\Delta(x \otimes f), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\Delta(x \otimes f) = (I - y \otimes f)\Delta(x \otimes f)y \otimes f$. Furthermore, $\Delta(x \otimes f)$ can be rewritten as $z \otimes f$, where $z \in K$ and $f(z) = 0$. Choosing $g \in K_-^{\perp}$ such that $g(x) = 1$, we arrive at

$$\Delta(x \otimes f) = z \otimes f = (z \otimes g)(x \otimes f) - (x \otimes f)(z \otimes g). \tag{2.4}$$

Combining (2.3) with (2.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(x\otimes f) &= [x\otimes f, [y\otimes f, \delta(y\otimes f)]] - [x\otimes f, z\otimes g]\\ &= [x\otimes f, [y\otimes f, \delta(y\otimes f)] - z\otimes g],\end{aligned}$$

from which we can see that the conclusion still remains to be established in this case. □

The following proposition will give a new characterization to the family of linear mappings $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ satisfying

$$L_n([A,B]) = \sum_{i+j=n} [L_i(A), L_j(B)]$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. These properties will partly enable us to transfer the problems of $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ into the same problems related to Lie derivations on $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebras by acting on zero product.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ be the associated $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebra. Suppose that $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is a family of linear mappings satisfying*

$$L_n([A,B]) = \sum_{i+j=n} [L_i(A), L_j(B)]$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. Then there is a sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ of linear mappings satisfying

$$\delta_n([A,F]) = [\delta_n(A), F] + [A, \delta_n(F)] \tag{2.5}$$

for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ such that

$$(n+1)L_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} L_{n-k}\delta_{k+1}$$

for each non-negative integer n.

Proof. Let us prove this proposition by induction on the index n. If $n = 0$, then

$$L_1([A,B]) = [L_1(A), L_0(B)] + [L_0(A), L_1(B)] = [L_1(A), B] + [A, L_1(B)]$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. If we set $\delta_1 = L_1$, then $\delta_1([A,B]) = [\delta_1(A), B] + [A, \delta_1(B)]$ for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. By Lemma 2.5 we know that $\delta_1([A,F]) = [\delta_1(A), F] + [A, \delta_1(F)]$ holds for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$.

We now suppose that δ_k is a well-established mapping of $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ for each $k \leq n$. Let us define

$$\delta_{n+1} = (n+1)L_{n+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}\delta_{k+1}.$$

It is sufficient to show that $\delta_{n+1} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ satisfies the equality (2.5). For any $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and any rank one operator $x \otimes f \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$, by the induction

hypothesis, we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{n+1}([A,x\otimes f]) &= (n+1)L_{n+1}([A,x\otimes f]) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}\delta_{k+1}([A,x\otimes f])\\ &= (n+1)L_{n+1}([A,x\otimes f]) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}[\delta_{k+1}(A),x\otimes f]\\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}[A,\delta_{k+1}(x\otimes f)].\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.6, we can further get

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{n+1}([A,x\otimes f]) &= (n+1)L_{n+1}([A,x\otimes f]) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}[\delta_{k+1}(A),x\otimes f]\\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}[A,(x\otimes f)S_{k+1} - S_{k+1}(x\otimes f)]\\ &= (n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}[L_k(A),L_{n+1-k}(x\otimes f)] - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}[L_i\delta_{k+1}(A),L_{n-k-i}(x\otimes f)]\\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}[L_i(A),L_{n-k-i}((x\otimes f)S_{k+1} - S_{k+1}(x\otimes f))].\end{aligned}$$

For convenience, let us write

$$\begin{aligned}U &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k[L_k(A),L_{n+1-k}(x\otimes f)] - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}[L_i\delta_{k+1}(A),L_{n-k-i}(x\otimes f)],\\ V &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(n+1-k)[L_k(A),L_{n+1-k}(x\otimes f)] - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}[L_i(A),L_{n-k-i}((x\otimes f)S_{k+1} - S_{k+1}(x\otimes f))].\end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to verify $\delta_{n+1}([A,x\otimes f]) = U+V$. In the expression of sum $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}$, we know that $k\neq n$ and $0\leq k+i\leq n$. If we set $r=k+i$, then

$$\begin{aligned}U &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k[L_k(A),L_{n+1-k}(x\otimes f)] - \sum_{r=0}^{n}\sum_{0\leq k\leq r,k\neq n}[L_{r-k}\delta_{k+1}(A),L_{n-r}(x\otimes f)]\\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n}(r+1)[L_{r+1}(A),L_{n-r}(x\otimes f)] - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}[L_{n-k}\delta_{k+1}(A),x\otimes f]\\ &\quad - \sum_{r=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{r}[L_{r-k}\delta_{k+1}(A),L_{n-r}(x\otimes f)]\\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1}[(r+1)L_{r+1}(A) - \sum_{k=0}^{r} L_{r-k}\delta_{k+1}(A),L_{n-r}(x\otimes f)]\\ &\quad + (n+1)[L_{n+1}(A),x\otimes f] - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}[L_{n-k}\delta_{k+1}(A),x\otimes f].\end{aligned}$$

Applying the induction hypothesis to the above equality, we obtain

$$U = [(n+1)L_{n+1}(A) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}\delta_{k+1}(A), x \otimes f] = [\delta_{n+1}(A), x \otimes f].$$

On the other hand, a direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= \sum_{i=0}^{n}[L_i(A), (n+1-i)L_{n+1-i}(x \otimes f)] - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-i}[L_i(A), L_{n-k-i}((x \otimes f)S_{k+1} - S_{k+1}(x \otimes f))] \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}[A, L_{n-k}((x \otimes f)S_{k+1} - S_{k+1}(x \otimes f))] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[L_i(A), (n+1-i)L_{n+1-i}(x \otimes f) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} L_{n-k-i}((x \otimes f)S_{k+1} - S_{k+1}(x \otimes f))] \\
&\quad + [A, (n+1)L_{n+1}(x \otimes f)] - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}[A, L_{n-k}((x \otimes f)S_{k+1} - S_{k+1}(x \otimes f))]
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using the induction hypothesis again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[L_i(A), (n+1-i)L_{n+1-i}(x \otimes f) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} L_{n-k-i}\delta_{k+1}(x \otimes f)] \\
&\quad + [A, (n+1)L_{n+1}(x \otimes f) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}\delta_{k+1}(x \otimes f)]] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n}[L_i(A), \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} L_{n-k-i}\tau_{k+1}(x \otimes f)] + [A, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}\tau_{k+1}(x \otimes f)] \qquad (2.6) \\
&= [A, (n+1)L_{n+1}(x \otimes f) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}\delta_{k+1}(x \otimes f)] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n}[L_i(A), \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} L_{n-k-i}\tau_{k+1}(x \otimes f)] + [A, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}\tau_{k+1}(x \otimes f)].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{n}[L_i(A), \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} L_{n-k-i}\tau_{k+1}(x \otimes f)] + [A, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}\tau_{k+1}(x \otimes f)] \\
&= [A, L_n\tau_1(x \otimes f) + L_{n-1}\tau_2(x \otimes f) + \cdots + L_1\tau_n(x \otimes f)] \\
&\quad + [L_1(A), L_{n-1}\tau_1(x \otimes f) + L_{n-2}\tau_2(x \otimes f) + \cdots + L_1\tau_{n-1}(x \otimes f) + L_0\tau_n(x \otimes f)] \\
&\quad + \cdots \\
&\quad + [L_{n-1}(A), L_1\tau_1(x \otimes f) + \tau_2(x \otimes f)] \\
&\quad + [L_n(A), \tau_1(x \otimes f)] \\
&= L_n[A, \tau_1(x \otimes f) + L_{n-1}[A, \tau_2(x \otimes f)] + \cdots + L_1[A, \tau_n(x \otimes f)],
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the relation (2.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} V &= [A,(n+1)L_{n+1}(x\otimes f) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} L_{n-k}(\delta_{k+1}(x\otimes f)] \\ &\quad + L_n[A,\tau_1(x\otimes f)] + L_{n-1}[A,\tau_2(x\otimes f)] + \cdots + L_1[A,\tau_n(x\otimes f)] \\ &= [A,\delta_{n+1}(x\otimes f)]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$\delta_{n+1}([A,x\otimes f]) = U + V = [\delta_{n+1}(A), x\otimes f] + [A,\delta_{n+1}(x\otimes f)].$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that δ_{n+1} satisfies (2.5) due to the linearity. □

Before giving our main result we recall some of the basic concepts about inner higher derivations which will be used in latter. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative algebra. We denote the set of higher derivations of order m on $\mathcal{A}$ by $D_m(\mathcal{A})$, which is a group under the multiplication $*$ defined by

$$(d * d')_n = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i \circ d'_j, \quad n \le m,$$

where $d, d' \in D_m(\mathcal{A})$(see [16] and the references therein). Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n\le m}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{A}$. Denote by $\Delta(\mathbf{a})$ a family of mappings defined by

$$\Delta(\mathbf{a})_n = ([a_1,1] * [a_2,2] * \cdots * [a_n,n])_n, \quad n \le m \qquad (\bigstar)$$

where

$$[a,k]_n(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } n = 0\ ; \\ 0, & \text{if } k \nmid n; \\ a^r x - a^{r-1}xa, & \text{if } n \neq 0, \text{ and } n = kr. \end{cases}$$

Then $\Delta(\mathbf{a}) \in D_m(\mathcal{A})$ is called an *inner higher derivation* of order m ([16]).

In the context of this article, $\mathbf{T}_K = (T_{Kn})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{B}(K)$ and $\Delta(\mathbf{T})$ is a family of mappings defined by

$$\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn} = ([T_{K1},1] * [T_{K2},2] * \cdots * [T_{Kn},n])_n.$$

For example, let $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{K1}(A) &= T_{K1}A - AT_{K1} \\ \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{K2}(A) &= T_{K1}^2A - T_{K1}AT_{K1} + T_{K2}A - AT_{K2}, \\ \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{K3}(A) &= T_{K1}^3A - T_{K1}^2AT_{K1} + T_{K1}T_{K2}A + AT_{K2}T_{K1} \\ &\quad - T_{K1}AT_{K2} - T_{K2}AT_{K1} + T_{K3}A - AT_{K3}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we are in a position to state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ be the associated $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebra. Suppose that $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is a family of linear mappings. Then $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies*

$$L_n([A,B]) = \sum_{i+j=n} [L_i(A), L_j(B)]$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$ if and only if for each $K \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$, there exist a family of linear mappings $\{\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and a sequence of linear functionals $\{h_{Kn}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{F}$ satisfying $h_{Kn}([A,B]) = 0$ whenever $AB = 0$ such that $L_n(A)x = (\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn}(A) + h_{Kn}(A)I)x$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and all $x \in K$.

Proof. The "if" part is obvious. We will prove the "only if" part. The proof will be obtianed via an induction method.

Claim 1. $L_1(A)x = (\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{K1}(A) + h_{K1}(A))x$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and for all $x \in K$, and the linear mapping $h_{K1} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{F}$ vanishes on all commutators.

It follows from Proposition 2.7 that there is a sequence of linear mappings $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ satisfying $\delta_n([A, F]) = [\delta_n(A), F] + [A, \delta_n(F)]$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$, $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ such that

$$(n+1)L_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} L_{n-k}\delta_{k+1}$$

for each non-negative integer n.

Clearly, the restriction of each δ_i to $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ is a Lie derivation. This implies that it is standard by [12, Theorem 3.1]. That is, there exists a derivation $d_i : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and a linear mapping $\tau_i : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}) \to Z(\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L})$ vanishing on every commutator such that

$$\delta_i(F) = d_i(F) + \tau_i(F) \tag{2.7}$$

for every $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$.

Let us fix an element $K \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$ and choose $x_K \in K$. In view of Lemma 2.2, one can take $f_K \in K_-^{\perp}$ such that $f_K(x_K) = 1$. Note that $x \otimes f_K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ for all $x \in K$. Thus we can define a linear mapping $R_{Ki} : K \to K$ by

$$R_{Ki}(x) = d_i(x \otimes f_K)x_K$$

for all $x \in K$. Then for any $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ and any $x \in K$, we have

$$R_{Ki}(Fx) = d_i(Fx \otimes f_K)x_K = d_i(F)(x \otimes f_K)x_K + Fd_i(x \otimes f_K)x_K,$$

from which we can get

$$d_i(F)x = (R_{Ki}F - FR_{Ki})x \tag{2.8}$$

for all $x \in K$. Since each $\delta_i (i \in \mathbb{N})$ in the sequence $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is of the form (2.7), each $\delta_i (i \in \mathbb{N})$ can be written as

$$\delta_i(F)x = (R_{Ki}F - FR_{Ki})x + \tau_i(F)x \tag{2.9}$$

for every $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ and for all $x \in K$. Here we omit the verification of the boundedness of each R_{Ki}, which is similar to the proof of [12, The Main Theorem].

In view of equality (2.9) we assert

$$\delta_i([A, F])x = (R_{Ki}(AF - FA) - (AF - FA)R_{Ki})x + \tau_i([A, F])x$$

for all $x \in K$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.5 we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_i([A, F])x &= [\delta_i(A), F]x + [A, d_i(F)]x \\ &= (\delta_i(A)F - F\delta_i(A))x + (A(R_{Ki}F - FR_{Ki}) - (R_{Ki}F - FR_{Ki})A)x.\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in K$. Comparing the last two equalities gives

$$(\delta_i(A) - (R_{Ki}A - AR_{Ki}))Fx = F(\delta_i(A) - (R_{Ki}A - AR_{Ki}))x + \tau_i([A, F])x.$$

for all $x \in K$. Let $y \in K$. Choosing $f \in \dim K_-^{\perp}$ with $f(x) = 1$ and then putting $F = y \otimes f$ in the last equation, we arrive at

$$(\delta_i(A)-(R_{Ki}A-AR_{Ki}))y = f((\delta_i(A)-(R_{Ki}A-AR_{Ki}))x)y+\tau_i([A, y\otimes f])x \tag{2.10}$$

for all $y \in K$. Note that $\tau_i([A, y \otimes f])$ is in the center of $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$, So the restriction of $\tau_i([A, x \otimes f])$ to arbitrary $x \in K$ is in fact a scalar multiple of x. Let us now take

$x = y$. It follows from equality (2.10) that $\delta_i(A) - (R_{Ki}A - AR_{Ki}))y$ is a scalar multiple of y. Consequently, there exists a scalar $h'_{Ki}(A)$ such that

$$(\delta_i(A) - (R_{Ki}A - AR_{Ki}))y = h'_{Ki}(A)I_K y$$

for all $y \in K$ and all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. Using the linearity of δ_i one can easily check that h'_{Ki} is a linear mapping. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(A)x = \delta_1(A)x &= (R_{K1}A - AR_{K1})x + h'_{K1}(A)I_K x \\ &= \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{K1}(A)x + h_{K1}(A)I_K x \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and for all $x \in K$. Moreover, by the assumption on L_1 we know that $h_{K1}([A, B]) = 0$ whenever $AB = 0$ for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$.

We now suppose that L_k is a well-established mapping for each $k \leq n$. Then we need only to prove the following Claim 2.

Claim 2. $L_{n+1}(A)x = (\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1}(A) + h_{Kn+1}(A))x$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$, $x \in K$, and $h_{Kn+1} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{F}$ vanishes on all commutators.

The proof of this claim will be realized through the following two steps.

Step 1. $L_{n+1}(F)x = \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1}(F)x + S_{n+1}(F)x$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ and for all $x \in K$ and there exists a scalar $\lambda_{Kn+1}(F)$ such that $S_{n+1}(F)x = \lambda_{Kn+1}(F)x$.

Note that $\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Ki}$ and $S_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ have been well established due to Claim 1. Hence we have

(2.12)

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n+1}(F)x &= \frac{1}{n+1}(L_n\delta_1 + L_{n-1}\delta_2 + \cdots + L_1\delta_n + L_0\delta_{n+1})(F)x \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1}[(\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn} + S_n)(R_{K1}F - FR_{K1} + h'_{K1}(F)) \\ &\quad + (\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn-1} + S_{n-1})(R_{K2}F - FR_{K2} + h'_{K2}(F)) + \cdots \\ &\quad + (\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{K1} + S_1)(R_{Kn}F - FR_{Kn} + h'_{Kn}(F)) + R_{Kn+1}F - FR_{Kn+1} + h'_{Kn+1}(F)]x \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1}[\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn}(R_{K1}F - FR_{K1}) + \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn-1}(R_{K2}F - FR_{K2}) + \cdots \\ &\quad + \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{K1}(R_{Kn}F - FR_{Kn}) + R_{Kn+1}F - FR_{Kn+1} + S'_{n+1}]x \\ &\triangleq [\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1}(F) + S_{n+1}(F)]x \end{aligned}$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ and all $x \in K$.

It is also easy to verify that $S_{n+1}(F)x = \lambda_{Kn+1}(F)x$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ and all $x \in K$; besides, $\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1}$ in the above collections is a mapping of the form ($\bigstar$) with order $n+1$. Now let us make a simple proof of the latter. Obviously(or see [7]),

$$(\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{K1}, \cdots, \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn}, \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1})$$

is a higher derivation of order $n+1$ on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$. We might as well assume that $\Delta'(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1}$ is a a mapping of the form ($\bigstar$) with order $n+1$, that is,

$$\Delta'(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1} = ([T_{K1}, 1] * [T_{K2}, 2] * \cdots * [T_{Kn}, n] * [T_{K'_{n+1}}, n+1])_{n+1},$$

then

$$(\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{K1}, \cdots, \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn}, \Delta'(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1})$$

is also a higher derivation of order $n+1$ on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$. A direct calculation shows that $\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1} - \Delta'(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1}$ is a usual derivation on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$(or see [16, Lemma 4.1]).

Note that equality (2.8) implies that there exists some $T_{K}''_{n+1} \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ such that

$$\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1} - \Delta'(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1} = [T_{K}''_{n+1}, n+1]_{n+1}.$$

Hence, setting $T_{K}'_{n+1} + T_{K}''_{n+1} = T_{Kn+1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1} &= ([T_{K1}, 1] * [T_{K2}, 2] * \cdots * [T_{Kn}, n] * [T_{K}'_{n+1}, n+1])_{n+1} + [T_{K}''_{n+1}, n+1]_{n+1} \\ &= ([T_{K1}, 1] * [T_{K2}, 2] * \cdots * [T_{Kn}, n] * [T_{K}'_{n+1} + T_{K}''_{n+1}, n+1])_{n+1} \\ &= ([T_{K1}, 1] * [T_{K2}, 2] * \cdots * [T_{Kn}, n] * [T_{Kn+1}, n+1])_{n+1},\end{aligned}$$

which is the desired form.

Step 2. L_{n+1} has the desired form and the Claim 2 holds.

Take any operator $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. For any $K \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$ and any $x \in K$, by Lemma 2.2., there exists some $f \in K_{-}^{\perp}$ such that $f(x) = 1$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{L}}(K)$ is a ideal of $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$, so by equality (2.12) we have

$$L_{n+1}([A, x \otimes f])x = \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1}([A, x \otimes f])x + S_{n+1}([A, x \otimes f])x$$

for all $x \in K$. On the other hand, using Lemma 2.5 and the induction hypothesis, we get

$$\begin{aligned}L_{n+1}([A, x \otimes f])x &= [L_{n+1}(A), x \otimes f]x + [A, L_{n+1}(x \otimes f])x \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ 0<i,j<n+1}} [L_i(A), L_j(x \otimes f)]x \\ &= [L_{n+1}(A), x \otimes f]x + [A, \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1}(x \otimes f])x \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ 0<i,j<n+1}} [\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Ki}(A), \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kj}(x \otimes f)]x\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in K$. From the last two relations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&(L_{n+1}(A) - \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1}(A))x \\ &= f((L_{n+1}(A) - \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1}(A))x)x + S_{n+1}([A, x \otimes f])x\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in K$. It follows from Step 1 that $S_{n+1}([A, x \otimes f])x$ is a scalar multiple x. Consequently, $(L_{n+1}(A) - \Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1}(A))x$ is also a scalar multiple of x. Namely, there exists a scalar $h_{Kn+1}(A)$ such that

$$L_{n+1}(A)x = (\Delta(\mathbf{T})_{Kn+1}(A) + h_{Kn+1}(A)I_K)x$$

holds for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and all $x \in K$. Moreover, it is also easy to check that h_{Kn+1} is linear and $h_{Kn+1}([A, B]) = 0$ for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. □

Using the above theorem an immediate corollary is the following theorem form [18].

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ be the associated $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebra. Then a linear mapping $\delta : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ satisfies*

$$\delta([A, B]) = [\delta(A), B] + [A, \delta(B)]$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$ if and only if, for each $K \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$, there exist an operator $T_K \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ and a linear functional $h_K : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{F}$ satisfying $h_K([A, B]) = 0$ whenever $AB = 0$ such that $\delta(A)x = (T_K A - AT_K + h_K(A)I)x$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and all $x \in K$.

3. Characterizations of ξ-Lie higher derivations by acting on zero products

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$, a binary operation $[A,B]_\xi = AB - \xi BA$ is called the *ξ-Lie product* of $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ (see [20]). Recall that a linear mapping $L : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called a *ξ-Lie derivation* if $L([A,B]_\xi) = [L(A), B]_\xi + [A, L(B)]_\xi$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. In this section, we will give the characterization of ξ-Lie higher derivations with $\xi \neq 1$ on $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebras by acting on zero products.

The following lemmas will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ be the associated $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebra. Suppose that $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is a family of linear mappings satisfying*

$$L_n([A,B]_\xi) = \sum_{i+j=n} [L_i(A), L_j(B)]_\xi$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $L_n(0) = 0$.

Proof. In the case of $k = 1$, it is easy to check that $L_1(0) = [L_1(0), 0]_\xi + [0, L_1(0)]_\xi = 0$. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \geq 1$. Assume that the lemma is true for all $s < k$. Then by the induction hypothesis we assert

$$L_k(0) = L_k([0,0]_\xi) = \sum_{\substack{i+j=k \\ 0<i,j<k}} [L_i(0), L_j(0)]_\xi = 0.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. [18, Theorem 3.1] *Let L be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $L : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is a linear mapping and $1 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{F}$. Then L satisfies $L([A,B]_\xi) = [L(A), B]_\xi + [A, L(B)]_\xi$ whenever $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$ if and only if one of the following statements holds.*

(a) *$\xi \neq 0$, L is a derivation of $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$.*
(b) *$\xi = 0$, $L(I) \in Z(\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L})$ and there exists a linear derivation $\delta : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $L(A) = \delta(A) + L(I)A$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. That is, L is a generalized derivation of $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with associated derivation δ.*

The following proposition will permit us to transfer the problem of ξ-Lie higher derivation with $\xi \neq 1$ by acting on zero products on $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebras into the same problems related to the corresponding ξ-Lie derivation.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ be the associated $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebra. Suppose that $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is a family of linear mappings satisfying*

$$L_n([A,B]_\xi) = \sum_{i+j=n} [L_i(A), L_j(B)]_\xi$$

whenever $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. Then there is a family of linear mappings $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying $\delta_n([A,B]_\xi) = [\delta_n(A), B]_\xi + [A, \delta_n(B)]_\xi$ for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$ such that

$$(n+1)L_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \delta_{k+1} L_{n-k}$$

for each non-negative integer n.

Proof. Let us prove it by induction on the index n. If $n = 0$, then

$$L_1([A,B]_\xi) = [L_1(A), L_0(B)]_\xi + [L_0(A), L_1(B)]_\xi = [L_1(A), B]_\xi + [A, L_1(B)]_\xi$$

for all $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. Let us set $\delta_1 = L_1$. Then $\delta_1([A,B]_\xi) = [\delta_1(A), B]_\xi + [A, \delta_1(B)]_\xi$ for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$.

We now suppose that δ_k is a well-established mapping of $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ for each $k \leq n$. Define

$$\delta_{n+1} = (n+1)L_{n+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta_{k+1} L_{n-k}.$$

It is sufficient to show that $\delta_{n+1} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ satisfying

$$\delta_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) = [\delta_{n+1}(A), B]_\xi + [A, \delta_{n+1}(B)]_\xi$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$.

Case 1. $\xi \neq 0$.

For arbitrary elements $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) &= (n+1)L_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta_{k+1} L_{n-k}([A,B]_\xi) \\
&= (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} [L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta_{k+1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} [L_i(A), L_{n-k-i}(B)]_\xi \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the induction hypothesis and Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (k+n+1-k)[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \\
&\quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta_{k+1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} [L_i(A), L_{n-k-i}(B)]_\xi \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} [\delta_{k+1}(L_i(A)), L_{n-k-i}(B)]_\xi \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (n+1-k)[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} [L_i(A), \delta_{k+1}(L_{n-k-i}(B))]_\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us write

$$\begin{aligned}
U &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} [\delta_{k+1}(L_i(A)), L_{n-k-i}(B)]_\xi, \\
V &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (n+1-k)[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} [L_i(A), \delta_{k+1}(L_{n-k-i}(B))]_\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\delta_{n+1}([A,B]) = U + V$. In the expression of sum $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}$, we notice that $k \neq n$ and $0 \leq k+i \leq n$. If we set $r = k+i$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
U &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{r=0}^{n}\sum_{0\leq k\leq r, k\neq n}[\delta_{k+1}(L_{r-k}(A)), L_{n-r}(B)]_\xi \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{n}(r+1)[L_{r+1}(A), L_{n-r}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{r=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{r}[\delta_{k+1}(L_{r-k}(A)), L_{n-r}(B)]_\xi \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}[\delta_{k+1}(L_{n-k}(A)), B]_\xi \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1}[(r+1)L_{r+1}(A) - \sum_{k=0}^{r}\delta_{k+1}(L_{r-k}(A)), L_{n-r}(B)]_\xi \\
&\quad + (n+1)[L_{n+1}(A), B]_\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}[\delta_{k+1}(L_{n-k}(A)), B]_\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis $(r+1)L_{r+1}(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{r}\delta_{k+1}(L_{r-k}(A))$ for $r = 0, \cdots, n-1$, we get

$$U = [(n+1)L_{n+1}(A) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\delta_{k+1}(L_{n-k}(A)), B]_\xi = [\delta_{n+1}(A), B]_\xi.$$

Similarly, one can deduce that $V = [A, \delta_{n+1}(B)]_\xi$. We therefore conclude

$$\delta_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) = U + V = [\delta_{n+1}(A), B]_\xi + [A, \delta_{n+1}(B)]_\xi$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$, which is the desired result.

Case 2. $\xi = 0$.

Note that the sequence $\{\delta_{k+1}\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ is a family of generalized derivations by Lemma 3.2. That is, for an arbitrary $k = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$, $\delta_{k+1}(A) = \tau_{k+1}(A) + \delta_{k+1}(I)(A)$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) &= (n+1)L_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\delta_{k+1}L_{n-k}([A,B]_\xi) \\
&= (n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\delta_{k+1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}[L_i(A), L_{n-k-i}(B)]_\xi\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. In view of Lemma 3.1 we know that $L_{n-k}([A,B]_\xi) = 0$ in the above relation. Using the induction hypothesis one can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(k+n+1-k)[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\tau_{k+1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}[L_i(A), L_{n-k-i}(B)]_\xi\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}k[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}[\tau_{k+1}(L_i(A)), L_{n-k-i}(B)]_\xi \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(n+1-k)[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}[L_i(A), \tau_{k+1}(L_{n-k-i}(B))]_\xi \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}k[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}[\delta_{k+1}(L_i(A)), L_{n-k-i}(B)]_\xi \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(n+1-k)[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}[L_i(A), \delta_{k+1}(L_{n-k-i}(B))]_\xi
\end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. If we set

$$U = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}k[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}[\delta_{k+1}(L_i(A)), L_{n-k-i}(B)]_\xi$$

and

$$V = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(n+1-k)[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}[L_i(A), \delta_{k+1}(L_{n-k-i}(B))]_\xi.$$

Then $\delta_{n+1}([A,B]) = U + V$ for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. Similarly, we can show that $U = [\delta_{n+1}(A), B]_\xi$ and $V = [A, \delta_{n+1}(B)]_\xi$. Thus we have

$$\delta_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) = P + Q = [\delta_{n+1}(A), B]_\xi + [A, \delta_{n+1}(B)]_\xi$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. □

Now we are in a position to state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ be the associated $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebra. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\xi \neq 1$. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ is the set of all ξ-Lie higher derivations on $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ by acting on zero products and $\mathcal{H}$ be the set of all sequences of ξ-Lie derivations on $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ by acting on zero products with first component zero. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.3 that for an arbitrary $G = \{L_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{G}$ on $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ satisfying

$$L_n([A,B]_\xi) = \sum_{i+j=n}[L_i(A), L_j(B)]_\xi$$

whenever $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$, there is a sequence $D = \{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of linear maps satisfying $\delta_n([A,B]_\xi) = [\delta_n(A), B]_\xi + [A, \delta_n(B)]_\xi$ for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with

$AB = 0$ on $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $\delta_0 = 0$ such that

$$(n+1)L_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \delta_{k+1} L_{n-k}$$

for each non-negative integer n. Hence the following mapping

$$\varphi : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$$
$$\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = G \longmapsto D = \{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

is well-defined. Note that the solution of the recursive relation of Proposition 3.3 is unique. Therefore φ is injective.

We next prove that φ is also surjective. For a given sequence $D = \{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of Lie derivations with $\delta_0 = 0$, one can define $L_0 = I$ and

$$(n+1)L_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \delta_{k+1} L_{n-k}$$

for each n. It is sufficient to show that $G = \{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a ξ-Lie higher derivation on $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ by acting on zero products.

Obviously, $L_1 = \delta_1$ is a ξ-Lie derivation on $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ by acting on zero products. Assume that $L_k([A,B]_\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} [L_i(A), L_{k-i}(B)]_\xi$ for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$ and for each $k \leq n$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}(n+1)L_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \delta_{k+1} L_{n-k}([A,B]_\xi) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \delta_{k+1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} [L_i(A), L_{n-k-i}(B)]_\xi \right)\end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$.

Case 1. $\xi \neq 0$

Using Lemma 3.2 and the induction hypothesis, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}(n+1)L_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \{[\delta_{k+1}(L_i(A)), L_{n-k-i}(B)]_\xi + [L_i(A), \delta_{k+1}(L_{n-k-i}(B))]_\xi\} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} [\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \delta_{k+1} L_{n-i-k}(A), L_i(B)]_\xi + \sum_{i=0}^{n} [L_i(A), \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \delta_{k+1} L_{n-i-k}(B)]_\xi \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} [(n-i+1)L_{n-i+1}(A), L_i(B)]_\xi + \sum_{i=0}^{n} [L_i(A), (n-i+1)L_{n-i+1}(B)]_\xi \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i[L_i(A), L_{n+1-i}(B)]_\xi + \sum_{i=0}^{n} (n+1-i)[L_i(A), L_{n-i+1}(B)]_\xi \\ &= (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} [L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi\end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$.

Case 2. $\xi = 0$

By Lemma 3.2 it follows that δ_n is a generalized derivation with associated derivation τ_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, $\delta_n(A) = \tau_n(A) + \delta_n(I)A$ holds for all

$A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. In an analogous manner, one can show

$$\begin{aligned}
(n+1)L_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}\{[\tau_{k+1}(L_i(A)), L_{n-k-i}(B)]_\xi + [L_i(A), \tau_{k+1}(L_{n-k-i}(B))]_\xi\} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n}[\sum_{k=0}^{n-i}\tau_{k+1}L_{n-i-k}(A), L_i(B)]_\xi + \sum_{i=0}^{n}[L_i(A), \sum_{k=0}^{n-i}\tau_{k+1}L_{n-i-k}(B)]_\xi \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n}[\sum_{k=0}^{n-i}\delta_{k+1}L_{n-i-k}(A), L_i(B)]_\xi + \sum_{i=0}^{n}[L_i(A), \sum_{k=0}^{n-i}\delta_{k+1}L_{n-i-k}(B)]_\xi \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n}[(n-i+1)L_{n-i+1}(A), L_i(B)]_\xi + \sum_{i=0}^{n}[L_i(A), (n-i+1)L_{n-i+1}(B)]_\xi \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i[L_i(A), L_{n+1-i}(B)]_\xi + \sum_{i=0}^{n}(n+1-i)[L_i(A), L_{n-i+1}(B)]_\xi \\
&= (n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi
\end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$.

In any case, one can get

$$L_{n+1}([A,B]_\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}[L_k(A), L_{n+1-k}(B)]_\xi$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$. This shows that $G = \{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a ξ-Lie higher derivation of $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. Thus $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and this completes the proof. □

Before stating the second main result in this section, we need a conclusion that characterizes generalized higher derivations in terms of generalized derivations.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative algebra and $G = \{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a generalized higher derivation with an associated higher derivation $D = \{d_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Then there is a family of generalized derivations $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with the family of associated derivations $\{\tau_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that*

$$(n+1)L_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\gamma_{k+1}L_{n-k}, \quad (n+1)d_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\tau_{k+1}d_{n-k}$$

for each nonnegative integer n.

Proof. Let us show it by induction on n. If $n = 0$, then

$$L_1(xy) = L_1(x)y + xd_1(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Let us write $\gamma_1 = L_1$ and $\tau_1 = d_1$. Then γ_1 is a generalized derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ with associated derivation τ_1.

Suppose that γ_k is a generalized derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ with associated derivation τ_k and that associated derivation τ_k satisfies $kd_k = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1}\tau_{s+1}d_{k-1-s}$ for each $k \leq n$. Let us define

$$\gamma_{n+1} = (n+1)L_{n+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\gamma_{k+1}L_{n-k}.$$

It is sufficient to prove that γ_{n+1} is a generalized derivation of $\mathcal{A}$.

For any $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{n+1}(xy) &= (n+1)L_{n+1}(xy) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{k+1} L_{n-k}(xy) \\ &= (n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} L_k(x) d_{n+1-k}(y) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{k+1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} L_i(x) d_{n-k-i}(y) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{n+1}(xy) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (k+n+1-k) L_k(x) d_{n+1-k}(y) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{k+1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} L_i(x) d_{n-k-i}(y) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k L_k(x) d_{n+1-k}(y) + \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (n+1-k) L_k(x) d_{n+1-k}(y) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \{\gamma_{k+1}(L_i(x)) d_{n-k-i}(y) + L_i(x) \tau_{k+1}(d_{n-k-i}(y))\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let us write

$$\begin{aligned}U &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k L_k(x) d_{n+1-k}(y) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \gamma_{k+1}(L_i(x)) d_{n-k-i}(y), \\ V &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (n+1-k) L_k(x) d_{n+1-k}(y) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} L_i(x) \tau_{k+1}(d_{n-k-i}(y)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\gamma_{n+1}[x, y] = U + V$. In the summands $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k}$, we know that $0 \leq k+i \leq n$ and $k \neq n$. If we set $r = k + i$, then

$$\begin{aligned}U &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k L_k(x) d_{n+1-k}(y) - \sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq r, k \neq n} \gamma_{k+1}(L_{r-k}(x)) d_{n-r}(y) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} k L_k(x) d_{n+1-k}(y) - \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{r} \gamma_{k+1}(L_{r-k}(x)) d_{n-r}(y) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{k+1}(L_{n-k}(x)) y \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n} (r+1) L_{r+1}(x) d_{n-r}(y) - \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{r} \gamma_{k+1}(L_{r-k}(x)) d_{n-r}(y) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{k+1}(L_{n-k}(x)) y \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} [(r+1) L_{r+1}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{r} \gamma_{k+1}(L_{r-k}(x))] d_{n-r}(y) \\ &\quad + (n+1) L_{n+1}(x) y - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{k+1}(L_{n-k}(x)) y.\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $(r+1)L_{r+1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \gamma_{k+1}(L_{r-k}(x))$ for $r = 0, \cdots, n-1$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$U = [(n+1) L_{n+1}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{k+1}(L_{n-k}(x))] y = \gamma_{n+1}(x) y.$$

On the other hand, a direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}V &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(n+1-k)L_k(x)d_{n+1-k}(y) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} L_i(x)\tau_{k+1}(d_{n-k-i}(y)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}(n+1-k)L_k(x)d_{n+1-k}(y) - \sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} L_i(x)\tau_{k+1}(d_{n-k-i}(y)) + x\tau_{n+1}(y) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n}(n+1-i)L_i(x)d_{n+1-i}(y) - \sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} L_i(x)\tau_{k+1}(d_{n-k-i}(y)) + x\tau_{n+1}(y).\end{aligned}$$

Using the induction hypothesis again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}V &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} L_i(x)[(n+1-i)d_{n+1-i}(y) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-i}\tau_{k+1}(d_{n-k-i}(y))] + x\tau_{n+1}(y) \\ &= x[(n+1)d_{n+1}(y) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\tau_{k+1}(d_{n-k}(y)) - \tau_{n+1}(y)] + x\tau_{n+1}(y) \\ &= x\tau_{n+1}(y),\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_{n+1} = (n+1)d_{n+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\tau_{k+1}d_{n-k}$. Hence

$$\gamma_{n+1}(xy) = \gamma_{n+1}(x)y + x\tau_{n+1}(y).$$

Whence γ_{n+1} is a generalized derivation of $\mathcal{A}$ with the associated derivation τ_{n+1} and this completes the proof. □

The second main result in this section reads as follows.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice on a Banach space X over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ be the associated $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebra. Suppose that $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L} \to \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is a family of linear mappings and $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\xi \neq 1$. Then $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies*

$$L_n([A,B]_\xi) = \sum_{i+j=n}[L_i(A), L_j(B)]_\xi$$

for any $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$ if and only if one of the following statements hold.

(a) *$\xi \neq 0$, $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a higher derivation of $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$.*
(b) *$\xi = 0$, $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a generalized higher derivation of $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. **Case 1.** (a) $\xi \neq 0$

The proof can be obtained by using Lemma 3.2, Theorem 3.4 and [6, Theorem 2.4].

Case 2. (b) $\xi = 0$

The "if" part can be obtained from Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.4. We need only to consider the "only if" part.

Proposition 3.3 means that there is a family $\{\delta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of linear mappings satisfying $\delta_n([A,B]_\xi) = [\delta_n(A), B]_\xi + [A, \delta_n(B)]_\xi$ whenever $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with $AB = 0$ such that $(n+1)L_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\delta_{k+1}L_{n-k}$ for each nonnegative integer n. Now each δ_n is a generalized derivation, which is due to Lemma 3.2. We might as well assume the associated derivation of δ_n be τ_n for each nonnegative integer n.

To prove $\{L_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a generalized higher derivation, let us take an inductive approach for the index n. It is clear that $L_1 = \delta_1$ is a generalized derivation of $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ with the associated derivation τ_1. Suppose that $L_k(AB) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} L_i(A)d_{k-i}(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ and $kd_k = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \tau_{s+1}d_{k-1-s}$ for all $k \leq n$.

Let us now prove that $L_{n+1}(AB) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} L_i(A)d_{n+1-i}(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{L}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(n+1)L_{n+1}(AB) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \delta_{k+1}L_{n-k}(AB) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \delta_{k+1}(L_i(A)d_{n-k-i}(B)) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \{[\delta_{k+1}(L_i(A))d_{n-k-i}(B) + L_i(A)\tau_{k+1}(d_{n-k-i}(B))]\} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \delta_{k+1}L_{n-i-k}(A)\right) d_i(B) + \sum_{i=0}^{n} L_i(A)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \tau_{k+1}d_{n-i-k}(B)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

So by the induction hypothesis we can do the following computation.

$$\begin{aligned}
(n+1)L_{n+1}(AB) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n}(n-i+1)L_{n-i+1}(A)d_i(B) + A\sum_{k=0}^{n}\tau_{k+1}d_{n-k}(B) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i(A)(n-i+1)d_{n-i+1}(B) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} iL_i(A)d_{n+1-i}(B) + A\sum_{k=0}^{n}\tau_{k+1}d_{n-k}(B) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(n+1-i)L_i(A)d_{n-i+1}(B) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(n+1)L_i(A)d_{n+1-i}(B) + A\sum_{k=0}^{n}\tau_{k+1}d_{n-k}(B) \\
&= (n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} L_k(A)d_{n+1-k}(B),
\end{aligned}$$

where $(n+1)d_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \tau_{k+1}d_{n-k}$. This completes the proof.

□

References

[1] M. Brešar, *Characterizing homomorphisms, derivations and multipliers in rings with idempotents*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A., **137** (2007), 9-21.

[2] M. A. Chebotar, W.-F. Ke, P.-H. Lee, and N.-C. Wong, *Mappings preserving zero products*, Studia Math., **155** (2003), 77-94.

[3] M. Ferrero and C. Haetinger, *Higher derivations and a theorem by Herstein*, Quaest. Math., **25** (2002), 249-257.

[4] M. Ferrero and C. Haetinger, *Higher derivations of semiprime rings*, Comm. Algebra, **30** (2002), 2321-2333.

[5] D. Han, *Higher derivations on Lie ideals of triangular algebras*, Siberian Math. J., **53** (2012), 1029-1036.
[6] D. Han, *Lie-type higher derivations on operator algebras*, Bull. Iran Math. Soc., **40** (2014), 1169-1194.
[7] M. Hazewinkel, *Hasse-Schmidt derivations and the Hopf algebra of noncommutative symmetric functions*, Axioms, **1** (2012), 149-154.
[8] P.-S. Ji, and W.-Q. Qi, *Characterizations of Lie derivations of triangular algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **435** (2011), 1137-1146.
[9] J.-K. Li and J.-B. Guo, *Characterizations of higher derivations and Jordan higher derivations on CSL algebras*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **83** (2011), 486-499.
[10] W. E. Longstaff, *Strongly reflexive lattices*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., **11** (1975), 491-498.
[11] W. E. Longstaff and O. Panaia, *$\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattices and subspace M-bases* , Stud. Math., **139** (2000), 197-211.
[12] F.-Y. Lu, *Lie derivations of $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **135** (2007), 2581-2590.
[13] F.-Y. Lu and W. Jing, *Characterizations of Lie derivations of $\mathcal{B}(X)$*, Linear Algebra Appl., **432** (2009), 89-99.
[14] F.-Y. Lu and P.-T. Li, *Algebraic isomorphisms and Jordan derivations of $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebras*, Studia Math., **158** (2003), 287-301.
[15] J.-K. Li, Z.-D. Pan and Q.-H. Shen, *Jordan and Jordan higher all-derivable points of some algebras*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, **61** (2013), 831-845.
[16] A. Nowicki, *Inner derivations of higher orders*, Tsukuba J. Math., **8**(2) (1984), 219-225.
[17] O. Panaia, *Quasi-spatiality of isomorphisms for certain classes of operator algebras*, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Western Australia, 1995.
[18] X.-F. Qi, *Characterization of (generalized) Lie derivations on $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebras by local action*, Aequationes Math., **87** (2014), 53-69.
[19] X.-F. Qi, J.-L. Cui and J.-C. Hou, *Characterizing additive ξ-Lie derivations of prime algebras by ξ-Lie zero products*, Linear Algebra Appl., **434** (2011), 669-682.
[20] X.-F. Qi and J.-C. Hou, *Additive Lie (ξ-Lie) derivations and generalized Lie (ξ-Lie) derivations on nest algebras*. Linear Algebra Appl., **431** (2009), 843-854.
[21] X.-F. Qi and J.-C. Hou, *Linear maps Lie derivable at zero on $\mathcal{J}$-subspace lattice algebras*, Stud. Math., **197**(2) (2010), 157-169.
[22] X.-F. Qi and J.-C. Hou, *Characterizations of derivations of Banach space nest algebras: All-derivable point*, Linear Algebra Appl., **432** (2010), 3183-3200.
[23] X.-F. Qi and J.-C, Hou, *Characterization of Lie derivations on prime rings*, Comm. Algebra, **39** (2011), 3824-3835.
[24] X.-F. Qi and J.-C. Hou, *Lie higher derivations on nest algebras*, Commun. Math. Res., **26** (2010), 131-143.
[25] F. Wei and Z.-K. Xiao, *Higher derivations of triangular algebras and its applications*, Linear Algebra Appl., **435** (2011), 1034-1054.
[26] Z.-K. Xiao and F. Wei, *Jordan higher derivations on triangular algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **432** (2010), 2615-2622.
[27] Z.-K. Xiao and F. Wei, *Nonlinear Lie higher derivations on triangular algebras*, Linear Multilinear A., **60** (2012), 979-994.
[28] J.-R. Zhou, *Linear mappings derivable at some nontrivial elements*, Linear Algebra Appl., **435** (2011), 1972-1986.
[29] J. Zhu, C.-P. Xiong, and R.-Y. Zhang, *All-derivable points in the algebra of all upper triangular matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., **429** (2008), 804-818.

Han: School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo, 454003, P. R. China
E-mail address: lishe@hpu.edu.cn

Wei: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, P. R. China
E-mail address: daoshuo@hotmail.com
E-mail address: daoshuowei@gmail.com